arXiv:0812.4057v2 [math.RA] 22 Oct 2009

Functionally recursive rings of matrices-Two examples

Said N. Sidki

Abstract. We define the notions of finite-state and functionally recursive matrices and their growth. We also introduce two rings generated by functionally recursive matrices. The first is isomorphic to the 2-generated free ring. The second is a 2-generated monomial ring such that the multiplicative semigroup of monomials in the generators is nil of degree 5 and the ring has Gelfand Kirillov dimension $1+\frac{\log(2)}{\log(\alpha)}$ where $\alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

1. Introduction

Infinite dimensional matrices which are recursively defined and rings generated by them have received attention stimulated to some degree by works on representations of the group algebras of the infinite torsion groups of Grigorchuk and of Gupta-Sidki [**10**], [**13**], [**4**], [**8**]. The most recent formulations of notions of matrix recursion are due to L. Bartholdi in [**2**] and in the software [**3**] with applications to group algebras and by R. Bacher in [**1**] with applications to Dirichlet characters.

In the first part of this paper, we consider vector spaces having for base a finitely generated free monoid and we consider linear transformations which leave invariant the span of monoid elements of equal length. Following the model of tree automorphisms, we define or re-define the notions of finite-state and functionally recursive matrix transformations. We also extend the notion of growth of tree automorphisms to matrix transformations.

In the second and longest part of the paper we introduce two recursive rings as case studies. With the proper definition of recursive matrices, we prove the following results.

Theorem 1. *Let R_1 be the ring generated by* $s=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 2s\end{pmatrix}, t=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 2s\\ 0 & 2t\end{pmatrix}$ *over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then R_1 is isomorphic to the free 2-generated ring.*

The matrices s,t in R_1 are functionally recursive of exponential growth. Even though they are not finite-state, the linear spans of their states have finite rank.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S50, 16W20; Secondary 20M25, 20F29.

Key words and phrases. Free ring, Nil degree, Automorphisms of Trees, Recursive matrices.

The author thanks Roland Bacher, Laurent Bartholdi and Pierre de la Harpe for stimulating conversations on this topic. The author acknowledges support from the Brazilian Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa and from FAPDF. .

Theorem 2. *Let* R_2 *be the ring generated by* $s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$ *over* $\mathbb{Z}$.
(i) Let $\mu(R_2)$ *be the multiplicative semigroup generated by* s, t. *Then* $\mu(R_2)$ *is nil of degree* 5.
(ii) Let λ *be the endomorphism of the free ring* $F = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ *defined by* $\lambda : \sigma \to \tau, \tau \to \sigma\tau$. *Then* R_2 *affords the monomial presentation* $\langle \sigma, \tau \mid u_i = 0 \ \ (i \geq 1) \rangle$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \sigma^2, \\ u_{2i} &= \tau . (u_{2i-1})^{\lambda}, \\ u_{2i+1} &= (u_{2i})^{\lambda} \ \ (i \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of R_2 *is* $1 + \frac{\log(2)}{\log(\alpha)}$ *where* $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

The matrices s, t in R_2 are finite-state and have bounded growth. More detailed information about the structure of R_2 and its quotients can be found in the text.

2. Tree indexed linear spaces and linear transformations

Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_m\}$ be a finite alphabet with $m \geq 2$ elements, S the set of finite sequences on the alphabet Y (or words from the free monoid freely generated by Y), S_n be the subset of S of sequences of length $n \geq 0$ and denote the empty sequence by ϕ. Then,

$$S = \{\phi\} \cup \{yS \mid y \in Y\} = \cup_{n \geq 0} S_n.$$

The order on Y may be extended to S in two ways. The first is lexicographic. The second order is length-lexicographic, where first we compare lengths of two sequences and then order lexicographically those sequences of the same length.

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be field and $V/\mathbf{k}$ be the vector space with basis S. If $u \in S$ then let uV denote the linear span of uS and V_n denote the $\mathbf{k}$-span of S_n for all $n \geq 0$. Then,

$$V = \mathbf{k}\phi \oplus \sum_{y \in Y} yV = \cup_{n \geq 0} V_n.$$

On repeating the first decomposition, we obtain subspaces uV of V where $yuV \leq uV$ for all $y \in Y, u \in S$; thus, the subspaces uV form a one-rooted tree $\mathbf{T}_m$. The space V can also be seen as the tensor space $\mathbf{k} \oplus \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\otimes^i U \right)$ where $U = V_1$, $V_{i+1} = \otimes^i U$ for $i \geq 1$.

We consider endomorphisms L of V which leave V_n invariant for all n. Thus L corresponds to an element of direct product ring $E(\mathbf{T}_m, \mathbf{k}) = \prod_{m \geq 0} End_{\mathbf{k}}(V_n)$ which is clearly residually finite dimensional. Another form for L which interests us is the following

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi) L &= L_\phi \phi, \\ (y.u) L &= \sum_{y' \in Y} y' . (u) L_{y,y'} \end{aligned}$$

where $L_\phi \in \mathbf{k}$ and where $L_{y,y'} \in E(\mathbf{T}_m, \mathbf{k})$ for each pair $y, y' \in Y$.

Using the length-lexicographic order on S and writing the vectors in row form, we get a matrix representation of $L \in E(\mathbf{T}_m, \mathbf{k})$ in block diagonal form

$$\lceil L \rceil = \begin{pmatrix} L_\phi & 0 & 0 & . \\ 0 & L_{m\times m} & 0 & . \\ 0 & 0 & L_{m^2\times m^2} & . \\ . & . & . & . \end{pmatrix}$$

where $L_{m^n\times m^n}$ is the matrix of the transformation L_n induced by L on V_n for all n. The ring of matrices $\lceil L \rceil$ is denoted by $M(\mathbf{T}_m, \mathbf{k})$.

On the other hand, on using the lexicographically ordered basis S we obtain the matrix representation of L as an $(m+1)\times(m+1)$ block form

$$[L] = \begin{pmatrix} L_\phi & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\left[L_{y_i,y_j}\right]\right)_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix}$$

The set of matrices $[L]$ is denoted by $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}_m, \mathbf{k})$.

We note that in the first matrix representation $\lceil L \rceil$ the block $L_{m\times m}$ has for its entries the values of $L_{y,y'}$ at ϕ and the entries of the block $L_{m^2\times m^2}$ the values of $\left(L_{y,y'}\right)_{y'',y'''}$ at ϕ and so on.

Define the set of states of L as

$$Q(L) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} [L], \\ L_\phi, [L_{y,y'}], \\ (L_y)_\phi, \left[\left(L_{y,y'}\right)_{y'',y'''}\right], ... \end{array} \right\}.$$

Example 1. Automorphisms of the binary tree

Write $y_1 = \dot{0}, y_2 = \dot{1}$. Then automorphism of the tree $\sigma : \phi \to \phi, \dot{0}u \leftrightarrow \dot{1}u$ is and in $M(\mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{k})$ as

$$\lceil \sigma \rceil = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & . \\ 0 & \sigma_{2\times 2} & 0 & . \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{4\times 4} & . \\ . & . & . & . \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma_{2\times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_{2^{i+1}\times 2^{i+1}} = \sigma_{2^i\times 2^i} \otimes I_{2\times 2}$ for $i \geq 1$. Also, σ is represented in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{k})$ as

$$[\sigma] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \\ 0 & I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A general automorphism of the binary tree has the form $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ (inactive) or $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)\,\sigma$ (active) in $M(\mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{k})$ as

$$\lceil \alpha \rceil = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & . \\ 0 & I_2 & 0 & . \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{4\times 4} & . \\ . & . & . & . \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & . \\ 0 & \sigma_{2\times 2} & 0 & . \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{4\times 4} & . \\ . & . & . & . \end{pmatrix}$$

where in the first case $\alpha_{4\times 4}=\begin{pmatrix}\beta_{2\times 2} & 0\\ 0 & \gamma_{2\times 2}\end{pmatrix}$ and in the second case $\alpha_{4\times 4}=\begin{pmatrix}\beta_{2\times 2} & 0\\ 0 & \gamma_{2\times 2}\end{pmatrix}(\sigma_{2\times 2}\otimes I_2)$. In $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}_2,\mathbf{k})$, α is represented as

$$[\alpha]=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & [\alpha_0] & 0\\ 0 & 0 & [\alpha_1]\end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & [\alpha_0]\\ 0 & [\alpha_1] & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

according to the two cases above.

We extend the definitions of *finite-state* and of *functionally recursive* automorphisms of rooted regular trees in [**6**] to $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}_m,\mathbf{k})$.

Definition 1. *1. A matrix $L\in\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}_m,\mathbf{k})$ is said to be finite-state provided $Q(L)$ is finite.*
2. A finite set of matrices $\{N_i\mid i=1...,v\}$ in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}_m,\mathbf{k})$ is said to be functionally recursive provided each of the states $(N_i)_{y,y'}$ is the value of some polynomial in non-commuting variables with constants from $M(m+1,\mathbf{k})$, evaluated at the N_j's. A matrix L is said to functionally recursive provided it is an element of some functionally recursive set.

A finite-state matrix is functionally recursive. Both sets of matrices form **k**-algebras. The notion of finite-state transformation may be extended by assuming the space generated by the states to be finite dimensional.

Our constructions in the large algebra $M(\mathbf{T}_m,\mathbf{k})$ often deal with sequences of transformations which are convergent in some sense, or have a special growth type. We formalize a notion of convergence in a more general setting. Let $\{W_i/\mathbf{k}\mid i\geq 1\}$ be a sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces with $dim(W_i)=m_i$, let $L_i\in End_{\mathbf{k}}(W_i)$ and define $r_i=\frac{rank(L_i)}{m_i}$. Under the usual operations, the set of sequences $\{L_i\mid i\geq 1\}$ form a **k**-algebra. We say that the sequence $\{L_i\mid i\geq 1\}$ *converges* provided the sequence $r=\{r_i\mid i\geq 1\}$ converges. It is direct to show that the set of convergent sequences of transformations is a **k**-algebra. Clearly, if the L_i´s are invertible then r is the constant sequence 1. Also, those sequences of transformations whose r converges to 0 form a **k**-algebra.

One notion of growth for an automorphism α of a tree counts the number of active states in $\{\alpha_u\mid u\in S_n\}$ for each n [**11**]. We give here an additive form of this version of growth. Consider V as the tensor space $\mathbf{k}\oplus\sum_{i\geq 0}\left(\otimes^i U\right)$, where $V_0=\mathbf{k}$ and $V_{i+1}=\otimes^i U$ for $i\geq 1$. Let $id(U)$ be the identity transformation on U. Then $End_{\mathbf{k}}(V_i)$ embeds in $End_{\mathbf{k}}(V_{i+1})$ via the map $L_i\rightarrow L_i\otimes id(U)$. Define $\partial L_{i+1}=L_{i+1}-L_i\otimes id(U)$ for $i\geq 0$. We define the *growth function* associated to the sequence $\{\partial L_i|\ \mathbf{i}\geq 1\}$ as $f:i\rightarrow rank(\partial L_i)$. The set of sequences $\{L_i|\ \mathbf{i}\geq 1\}$ of a given growth type form a **k**-algebra.

3. A free 2-generated ring

Let $s=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & I & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 2s\end{pmatrix}, t=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 2s\\ 0 & 0 & 2t\end{pmatrix}\in\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}_2,\mathbb{Q})$. Write

$$s=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 2s\end{pmatrix}, t=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 2s\\ 0 & 2t\end{pmatrix}$$

for short. Then s, t are functionally recursive and have exponential growth. Let R be the ring generated by s and t.

Given a monomial $m = s^{i_1}t^{j_1}...s^{i_l}t^{j_l}$, where $i_1, ..., i_l, j_1, ..., j_l$ are non-negative integers, let $|m|$ denote its formal length $i_1 + j_1 + ... + i_l + j_l$. We find that for $i_1 \geq 0, j_1 \geq 1$ and $m' = s^{i_2}t^{j_2}...s^{i_l}t^{j_l}$,

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2^{|m|-i_1}st^{j_1-1}m' \\ 0 & 2^{|m|}m \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 1. *The generator s is transcendental in R.*

PROOF. Suppose not. Let $p(x) = \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} a_i x^i$ be a non-zero polynomial of minimal degree l such that $p(s) = 0$. Note that s is invertible in $M(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbf{T}_2)$ and so $a_0 \neq 0$. Now, from the matrix form

$$p(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} a_i & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} 2^i a_i s^i \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$\sum_{0\leq i\leq l} a_i = 0, \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} 2^i a_i s^i = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{0\leq i\leq l} 2^i a_i s^i - \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} a_i s^i = \sum_{1\leq i\leq l} \left(2^i - 1\right) a_i s^i = 0.$$

It follows that $\left(2^i - 1\right) a_i = 0$ and so, $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. Thus, $\sum_{0\leq i\leq l} a_i = a_0 = 0$; a contradiction. $\square$

A word w in R has the form

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} a_i s^i + \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} b_k m_k, \\ m_k &= s^{i_1(m_k)} t^{j_1(m_k)} m'_k, \text{ where } i_1(m_k) \geq 0, j_1(m_k) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The matrix form of w is

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} a_i & \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} 2^{|m_k|-i_1(m_k)} b_k s t^{j_1(m_k)-1} m'_k \\ 0 & \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} 2^i a_i s^i + \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} 2^{|m_k|} b_k m_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.

Suppose there exists a non-trivial word w such that $w = 0$ in R. By the previous lemma, we may assume $b_n \neq 0$; choose w having minimal v such that $b_n \neq 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} a_i &= 0, \\ \sum_{0\leq i\leq l} 2^i a_i s^i + \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} 2^{|m_k|} b_k m_k &= 0, \\ \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} 2^{|m_k|-i_1(m_k)} b_k s t^{j_1(m_k)-1} m'_k &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We eliminate the leading term of w:

$$w_{22} - 2^{|m_n|} w = \sum \left(2^i - 2^{|m_n|}\right) a_i s^i + \sum_{1\leq k\leq l-1} \left(2^{|m_k|} - 2^{|m_n|}\right) b_k m_k.$$

By the minimality of w,

$$\left(2^{i}-2^{|m_n|}\right)a_i=0,\left(2^{|m_k|}-2^{|m_n|}\right)b_k=0.$$

If $a_i\neq 0$ for some i, then $i=|m_n|$ and $a_j=0$ for all $j\neq i$; but, as $\sum_{0\leq j\leq u}a_j=0$, we have a contradiction. Hence, $w=\sum_{1\leq k\leq n}b_k m_k$ and $|m_k|=|m_n|$ for all k, and as s is invertible,

$$\sum_{1\leq k\leq n}2^{|m_n|-i_1(m_k)}b_k t^{j_1(m_k)-1}m_k'=0.$$

Suppose there exist $k\neq l$ such that $t^{j_1(m_k)-1}m_k'=t^{j_1(m_l)-1}m_l'$. It follows that, $t^{j_1(m_k)}m_k'=t^{j_1(m_l)}m_l'$, and

$$j_1(m_k)+|m_k'|=j_1(m_l)+|m_l'|.$$

Now, since $m_k=s^{i_1(m_k)}t^{j_1(m_k)}m_k'$ and $|m_k|=|m_l|$ we have

$$|m_k|=i_1(m_k)+j_1(m_k)+|m_k'|,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i_1(m_k)+j_1(m_k)+|m_k'| &= i_1(m_l)+j_1(m_l)+|m_l'|,\\
i_1(m_k) &= i_1(m_l),\\
t^{j_1(m_k)}s^{i_1(m_k)}m_k' &= t^{j_1(m_l)}s^{i_1(m_l)}m_l',\\
m_k &= m_l.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $k=l$; a contradiction. Hence, by minimality of w, we obtain $2^{|m_n|-i_1(m_k)}b_k=0$ and $b_k=0$ for all k; a final contradiction.

4. A 2-generated monomial ring

Let $s=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&I&0\end{pmatrix},t=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&t\\0&0&s\end{pmatrix}\in\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}_2,\mathbb{Q})$. Write

$$s=\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\1&0\end{pmatrix},t=\begin{pmatrix}0&t\\0&s\end{pmatrix}$$

for short. Then s,t are finite-state transformations and both have bounded growth. Let R be the ring generated by s and t.

Before stating results about the ring R, we introduce some notation. For a general ring A generated by a set X, let $\mu(A)$ denote the multiplicative semigroup generated by X.

Given $u\in\mu(A)$ we let $|u|$ denote the formal length of u as a word in X. For $w\in A$, let $\delta(w)$ denote the nilpotency degree of w; if w is not nilpotent, then let $\delta(w)$ be infinite.

Let $ran(A), lan(A)$ the the right and left annihilators of A, respectively. Also, define the ascending left annihilator series $L_1(A)=lan(A), L_{i+1}(A)=lan(A,L_i(A))$ (that is, $\frac{L_{i+1}(A)}{L_i(A)}=lan\left(\frac{A}{L_i(A)}\right)$), $L_\infty(A)=\bigcup_{i\geq 1}L_i(A)$ and $\overline{R}=\frac{R}{L_\infty(R)}$.

We will prove in the next sections various properties of R. Some of these are gathered below.

THEOREM 3. *(i) The ring R is torsion-free and has infinite $\mathbb{Z}$-rank.*
(ii) The element $w = s + t$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(iii) The ideal ran $(R) = 0$, and the ideals $L_i\ (R)$ are nilpotent with $L_{i+1}(R) > L_i\ (R)$ for all $i \geq 1$.
(iv) The ideals ran $\left(\overline{R}\right) =$ lan $\left(\overline{R}\right) = 0$. Furthermore, $\overline{R}$ has infinite $\mathbb{Z}$-rank
(v) The semigroup $\mu(R)$ is nil of degree 5 and $\mu\left(\overline{R}\right)$ is nil of degree 4.
(vi) The ring R modulo the ideal generated by $(tst)^3$ has finite $\mathbb{Z}$-rank.
(vii) The ring R affords the monomial presentation in Theorem 2.

4.1. First structural information. Let $\widehat{R} = \mathbb{Z}1 \oplus R$. Then, $R \leq M_{2\times 2}\left(\widehat{R}\right)$ and we have the following decompositions

$$R = \widehat{R}s \oplus \widehat{R}t = s\widehat{R} \oplus t\widehat{R}.$$

Let $T = \widehat{R}t = \langle t, st\rangle$. Then T is a proper subring of R and the projection $\varphi : t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \to s, st = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \to t$ extends to an epimorphism $\varphi : T \to R$; in other words, the ring R is recurrent. Therefore, we conclude from $R = \widehat{R}s \oplus T$ that R has infinite $\mathbb{Z}$-rank. We decompose R in terms of T.

LEMMA 2. *$R = \mathbb{Z}s \oplus st\widehat{T}s \oplus st.\widehat{T} \oplus t.\widehat{T}.s \oplus t.\widehat{T}$.*

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= s\widehat{R} \oplus t\widehat{R} = s\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus R\right) \oplus t\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus R\right) \\
&= \mathbb{Z}s \oplus s\left(\widehat{R}s \oplus \widehat{R}t\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}t \oplus t\left(\widehat{R}s \oplus \widehat{R}t\right) \\
&= \mathbb{Z}s \oplus s\widehat{R}s \oplus s\widehat{R}t \oplus \mathbb{Z}t \oplus t\widehat{R}s \oplus t\widehat{R}t \\
&= \mathbb{Z}s \oplus s\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \widehat{R}s \oplus \widehat{R}t\right)s \oplus s\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus s\widehat{R} \oplus t\widehat{R}\right)t \oplus \mathbb{Z}t \oplus \\
&\quad t\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \widehat{R}s \oplus \widehat{R}t\right)s \oplus t\widehat{R}t \\
&= \mathbb{Z}s \oplus s\widehat{R}ts \oplus \left(\mathbb{Z}st \oplus st\widehat{R}t\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}t \oplus \left(\mathbb{Z}ts \oplus t\widehat{R}ts\right) \oplus t\widehat{R}t \\
&= \mathbb{Z}s \oplus s\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus s\widehat{R} \oplus t\widehat{R}\right)ts \oplus \oplus st.\widehat{T} \oplus t.\widehat{T}.s \oplus t.\widehat{T} \\
&= \mathbb{Z}s \oplus st\widehat{T}s \oplus st.\widehat{T} \oplus t.\widehat{T}.s \oplus t.\widehat{T}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The above decomposition provides us with five monomial types.

Lemma 3. *Elements of $\mu(R)$ have the following five matrix types and nilpotency degrees:*

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \delta(s) = 2; \\ t.v(t, st) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t.v(s,t) \\ 0 & s.v(s,t) \end{pmatrix}, \delta(t.v(t,st)) = \delta(s.v(s,t)) \ \textit{or} \ \delta(s.v(s,t)) + 1; \\ st.v(t, st) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t.v(s,t) \end{pmatrix}, \delta(st.v(t,st)) = \delta(t.v(s,t)); \\ t.v(t, st).s &= \begin{pmatrix} t.v(s,t) & 0 \\ s.v(s,t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \delta(t.v(t,st).s) = \delta(s.v(s,t)) \ \textit{or} \ \delta(s.v(s,t)) + 1; \\ st.w(t, st).s &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t.v(s,t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \delta(st.v(t,st).s) = \delta(t.v(s,t)). \end{aligned}$$

The following short list of monomials and their nilpotency degrees will be helpful in later computations.

Lemma 4. *The monomials of length at most 3 are*

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}, s^2 = 0, ts = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ s & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ st &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, t^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ts \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, tst = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^2 \\ 0 & st \end{pmatrix}, \\ sts &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t^2s = \begin{pmatrix} ts & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, st^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ts \end{pmatrix}, t^3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The nilpotency degrees of these elements are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(s) &= 2, \delta(t) = 3, \delta(ts) = 4, \\ \delta(st) &= 3, \delta(t^2) = 2, \delta(tst) = 4, \\ \delta(sts) &= 2, \delta(t^2s) = 4, \delta(st^2) = 4. \end{aligned}$$

We are now able to prove a number of important properties of R.

Proposition 1. *(i) (Contraction property) Let $v \in \mu(R)$ and let $|v| \geq 3$. Then $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_{12} \\ 0 & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & 0 \\ v_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $v_{ij} \in \mu(R)$ and $|v_{ij}| < |v|$.*
(ii) Let u, v be non-zero monomials such that $u = v$ in R. Then, u is freely equal to v.
(iii) R is a monomial ring.
(iv) Monomials of R are nilpotent.
(v) Let $u = s + t$. Then u is transcendental over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (i) First check that the contraction property holds for monomials of length 3. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 3, by induction on the length $|v|$.

(ii) Proceed by induction on $\max\{|u|, |v|\}$ and assume $|u| \leq |v|$. From the matrix representations of u, v, we may assume $u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_{12} \\ 0 & u_{22} \end{pmatrix}, v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_{12} \\ 0 & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. By the contraction property, we may assume furthermore, $|v| \leq 2$. The assertion follows by checking the list.

(iii) Let $v_i \neq 0$ be formally distinct monomials and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(i = 1, ..., k)$. If $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} n_i v_i = 0$, then we will show that $n_i = 0$ for all i.

Proceed by contradiction. Choose k minimum and let $v_i \in \mu(R)$ be such that $\sum_k |v_i|$ is minimum. We represent v_i as in Lemma 3 above. Let

$$I = \{1, ..., k\}, I_1 = \{i \mid (v_i)_{12} \neq 0\}, I_2 = \{i \mid (v_i)_{12} = 0\}.$$

Then, $\sum_k n_i v_i = \sum \{n_i v_i \mid i \in I_1\} + \sum \{n_i v_i \mid i \in I_2\} = 0$ implies

$$\sum \{n_i (v_i)_{12} \mid i \in I_1\} = 0.$$

Suppose I_1 is nonempty. We have for all $i \in I_1$, $v_i = tw_i(t, st) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t.w_i(s,t) \\ 0 & s.w_i(s,t) \end{pmatrix}$ where $|(v_i)_{12}| = |t.w_i(s,t)| < |v_i|$ By induction on length, for every i in I_1, there exists $j \neq i$ in I_1 such that $t.w_i(s,t) = t.w_j(s,t)$. Therefore, $t.w_i(s,t), t.w_j(s,t)$ are freely equal and so, $w_i(s,t) = w_j(s,t)$ and $v_i = v_j$; a contradiction.

Similarly, it follows that $\{i \in I \mid (v_i)_{11} \neq 0\}$ is empty. Hence, for all i in I we have $v_i = st.w_i(t, st).s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t.w_i(s,t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $v_i = st.w_i(t, st) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t.w_i(s,t) \end{pmatrix}$.

We repeat the argument above for the partition

$$J_1 = \{i \in I \mid (v_i)_{21} \neq 0\}, J_2 = \{i \in I \mid (v_i)_{22} = 0\}.$$

(iv) Let $v \in \mu(R)$. Then, $v^4 = 0$ for $|v| \leq 2$. Suppose $3 \leq |v|$ and $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_{12} \\ 0 & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Then, as $|v_{22}| < |v|$ we have v_{22} is nilpotent, say $\delta(v_{22}) = n$. Now since $v^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_{12} v_{22}^{i-1} \\ 0 & v_{22}^i \end{pmatrix}$ for all $i \geq 1$, we conclude $v^{n+1} = 0$. The case where $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & 0 \\ v_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is similar.

(v) Let $u = s + t$. For all $n \geq 1$, u^n is the sum of all non-zero monomials of length n. Since $\mu(R)$ is infinite and R is a monomial ring, it follows that u is transcendental. □

Since R is a monomial ring, it follows clearly that R is torsion-free.

4.2. Right and Left Annihilators.

A subring U of R is called a *monomially closed* provided if $w \in U$ and $w = \sum u_i$ where $u_i \in \mu(R)$ then $u_i \in \mu(U)$. If $u \in R$ then let $ran(u)$ and $lan(u)$ denote the right and left annihilator of u, respectively.

Lemma 5. *Let u be a homogenous element in R. Then, $ran(u)$ and $lan(u)$ are monomially closed.*

Proof. The element $u = \sum n_i u_i$ where the u_i´s are distinct monomials of the same length. Suppose $w = \sum m_j w_j \in ran(u)$ where the w_i are monomials and the sum is reduced. Then, $uw = \sum n_i m_j u_i w_j = 0$ and so, $u_i w_j$ are distinct or null. □

Lemma 6. *(i) $lan(s) = \widehat{R}s$, (ii) $ran(R) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Since $lan(s)$ and $ran(R)$ are monomially closed, it suffices to describe the monomials in these subrings. We will use in our analysis the five types of monomials u in Lemma 3.

(i) We note that $u \in lan(s) \cap \mu(R)$ if and only if $u = s, t.w(t, st).s, st.w(t, st).s$ and these clearly generate $\widehat{R}s$.

(ii) Suppose $s.u = t.u = 0$. We check that $u = 0$ or $|u| \geq 3$. Suppose $u = t.w\,(t, st) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tw(s,t) \\ 0 & sw\,(s,t) \end{pmatrix}$. Then, $t.w(s,t) = 0, ts.w\,(s,t) = 0$. It follows that $s.w\,(s,t) \in ran\,(R)$ and by induction, $s.w\,(s,t) = 0$. Therefore, we have $t.w(s,t) = 0, s.w\,(s,t) = 0$ and $w(s,t) \in ran\,(R)$, $w(s,t) = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 2. *The ideals in* $\{L_i\,(R) \mid i \geq 1\}$ *are nilpotent and distinct. The quotient ring* $\frac{R}{L_\infty(R)}$ *has infinite* $\mathbb{Z}$*-rank.*

Proof. Note that $u \in \mu\,(L_i)$ if and only if $uw = 0$ for all $w \in \mu\,(R)$ of length i. This shows that $L\,(R)^2 = \{0\}$ and more generally, $L_i\,(R)^{1+i} = \{0\}$.

Define the sequence

$$x_1 = ts,\ \ x_{i+1}\,(s,t) = x_i\,(s,t)^{\varphi} = x_i\,(t, st)\ \text{ for all } i \geq 1$$

and

$$y_i = x_i^3 \text{ for all } i \geq 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 \;&=\; st^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ts \end{pmatrix}, x_3 = t\,(st)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & st^2 \end{pmatrix}, x_{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_i \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } i \geq 1; \\ y_1 \;&=\; (ts)^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ st^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}, y_{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_i \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_1 s = 0$, $y_1 t = 0$, we conclude that $y_1 \in L_1 = lan\,(R)$. Furthermore, $y_2 s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_2 t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 s \end{pmatrix}$ and so, $y_2 \in L_2 \backslash L_1$.

More generally, $y_i \in L_i \backslash L_{i-1}$ $(i \geq 1)$ follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} L_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cap R \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ L_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cap R \;&\leq\; L_i, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cap R \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_i \end{pmatrix} \cap R \;&\leq\; L_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\overline{R} = \frac{R}{L_\infty(R)}$ has finite rank. Then, $\overline{R}$ is finite over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and is therefore nilpotent, say of degree m. Hence $(s+t)^m \in L_\infty\,(R)$ and it follows that $s+t$ is nilpotent; a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 7. *The right annihilators* $ran\left(\frac{R}{L_i(R)}\right)$, $ran\left(\frac{R}{L_\infty(R)}\right)$ *are null.*

Proof. Let $w \in R$ such that $sw, tw \in lan\,(R)$. Then, sws, tws, swt, twt are all zero. From $s\,(ws) = t\,(ws) = 0$ we obtain $ws = 0$ and likewise, $wt = 0$. Therefore, $w \in lan\,(R)$. Hence, $ran\left(\frac{R}{L_1(R)}\right) = \{0\}$. By induction, $ran\left(\frac{R}{L_i(R)}\right) = \{0\}$ and $ran\left(\frac{R}{L_\infty(R)}\right) = \{0\}$. $\square$

4.3. Powers of monomials. The semigroup $\mu\,(R)$ is nil of degree at least 5.

In order to calculate powers of monomials we need to evaluate words of the form $(tw)\,(sw)^i$ and $(sw)\,(tw)^i$, as in

$$\begin{aligned} \left(tw\left(t,st\right)\right)^{k} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tw \\ 0 & sw \end{pmatrix}^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(tw\right)\left(sw\right)^{k-1} \\ 0 & \left(sw\right)^{k} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left(tw\left(t,st\right)s\right)^{k} &= \begin{pmatrix} tw & 0 \\ sw & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(tw\right)^{k} & 0 \\ \left(sw\right)\left(tw\right)^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3. *For any $w \in \mu\left(R\right)$ of length greater than 2 and for any $k \geq 1$ the non-zero block entries of elements of*

$$S(w,k) = \left\{\left(tw\right)\left(sw\right)^{k}, \left(sw\right)\left(tw\right)^{k}, \left(tw\right)\left(tsw\right)^{k}, \left(tsw\right)\left(stw\right)^{k}, \left(tsw\right)\left(t^{2}w\right)^{k}\right\}$$

belong to $\cup\{S(v,k) \mid |v| < |w|\}$.

PROOF. First, check that $S(w,k) = \{0\}$ for $w = sw'$ or t^2w'; thus, we need to verify the assertion only for $w = tv(t,st), tv(t,st)s$.

1. Let $w = tv(t,st) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tv \\ 0 & sv \end{pmatrix}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} sw &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & tv \end{pmatrix}, tw = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tsv \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ stw &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & tsv \end{pmatrix}, tsw = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{2}v \\ 0 & stv \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left(sw\right)\left(tw\right) &= 0, t^{2}w = 0, \left(tw\right)^{2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(sw\right)\left(tw\right) &= 0, \left(tw\right)\left(sw\right)^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(tsv\right)\left(tv\right)^{k} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \left(tsw\right)\left(tw\right) = 0, \\ \left(tw\right)\left(sw\right)^{k} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(tsv\right)\left(tv\right)^{k} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \left(tw\right)\left(tsw\right)^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(tsv\right)\left(stv\right)^{k} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left(tsw\right)\left(stw\right)^{k} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(t^{2}v\right)\left(tsv\right)^{k} \\ 0 & \left(stv\right)\left(tsv\right)^{k} \end{pmatrix}, \ \left(stw\right)\left(tsw\right)^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(tsv\right)\left(stv\right)^{k} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let $w = tv(t,st)s = \begin{pmatrix} tv & 0 \\ sv & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} sw &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ tv & 0 \end{pmatrix}, tw = \begin{pmatrix} tsv & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ stw &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ tsv & 0 \end{pmatrix}, tsw = \begin{pmatrix} t^{2}v & 0 \\ stv & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t^{2}w &= 0, \left(tw\right)\left(sw\right) = 0, \\ \left(sw\right)\left(tw\right)^{k} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \left(tv\right)\left(tsv\right)^{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \left(tw\right)\left(tsw\right)^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(tsv\right)\left(t^{2}v\right)^{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ stw\left(tsw\right)^{k} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \left(tsv\right)\left(t^{2}v\right)^{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \left(tsw\right)\left(tw\right)^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{2}v\left(tsv\right)^{k} & 0 \\ stv\left(tsv\right)^{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left(tsw\right)\left(stw\right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 8. *The quotient of the ring R by the ideal generated by $(tst)^3$ has finite $\mathbb{Z}$-rank.*

Proof. Let $u = tst$ and N be the 2-sided ideal in R generated by u^3. We need to consider only monomials which contain the subword t^2. Since $t^3 = (st)^3 = 0$, non-zero monomials which contain two occurrences of t^2 have subwords of the form t^2st^2, t^2stst^2. From the form $u^3 = tst^2st^2st$, we conclude there are only a finite number of monomials in $\frac{R}{N}$ with subwords $N + t^2st^2$. A long enough non-zero monomial in $\frac{R}{N}$ will contain a subword $N + tstst^2stst^2stst^2stst = N + v^4$ where $v = tstst = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & st^2 \end{pmatrix}$; but as $v^4 = 0$, we are done. $\square$

Proposition 4. *(i) The set $S(w,4) = \{0\}$ for all $w \in \mu(R)$.*
(ii) The set $S(w,3)$ is contained in $L_\infty(R)$.
(iii) $\mu(R)$ is nil of degree 5.
(iv) The semigroup $\mu\left(\frac{R}{L_\infty(R)}\right)$ is nil of degree 4.

Proof. First we evaluate the set $S(w,k)$ for all monomials w of length at most 2; therefore, we need to substitute only $w = 1, t, ts$. The set of values produced is

$$M(k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} ts^k, st^k, t(ts)^k, (ts)(t^2)^k, t^2(st)^k, \\ (tst)(st^2)^k, (sts)(t^2s)^k, (t^2s)(ts)^{2k} \end{array} \right\}.$$

More specifically, we find

$$\begin{aligned} M(1) &= \{ts, st, t^2s, tst^2, t^2st, tstst^2, stst^2s, t^2ststs\}, \\ M(2) &= \left\{st^2, t(ts)^2, t^2(st)^2, (tst)(st^2)^2, (sts)(t^2s)^2\right\}, \\ M(3) &= \left\{t(ts)^3, (tst)(st^2)^3, (sts)(t^2s)^3\right\}, \\ M(k) &= \{0\} \text{ for } k \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

(i) To prove $S(w,4) = \{0\}$, we proceed by induction on the length of w. The fact $M(4) = \{0\}$ takes care of the first step of the induction. Suppose w has length greater than 2, then by the previous proposition, $S(w,4)$ is contained in $\cup \{S(v,k) \mid |v| < |w|\}$ and thus we are done by induction.

(ii) We note that $(ts)^3, (st^2)^3, (t^2s)^3 \in L_2(R)$ and therefore $M(3)$ is contained in $L_2(R)$. By induction on the length of w and by using

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_i(R) & L_i(R) \\ L_i(R) & L_i(R) \end{pmatrix} \cap R \leq L_{i+1}(R)$$

we reach $S(w,3) \leq L_\infty(R)$.

(iii) To prove that $w \in \mu(R)$ is nil of degree at most 5, we proceed by induction on $|w|$ and use part (i) above. To prove that the degree is exactly 5, it is sufficient to check that $\delta\left(tst^2s\right) = 5$.

(iv) To prove that $\overline{w} \in \overline{\mu(R)}$ is nil of degree at most 4, we proceed by induction on $|w|$ and use part (ii) above. Suppose the nil degree is less than 4 then $u = (tst)^3 \in L_\infty(R)$ and by Lemma 9, $\overline{R}$ has finite $\mathbb{Z}$-rank, which contradicts Proposition 2. $\square$

We note here that $\mu(R)$ is not a maximal nil multiplicative semigroup. Indeed, for $u = s + t^2$, we easily check that $\delta(u) = 8$ and

$$u.\mu(R), \mu(R).u \leq \mu(R);$$

thus, the multiplicative semigroup $\langle u, s, t\rangle$ is nil of degree 8.

4.4. Presentation of R. Recall the elements $u_1 = \sigma^2$, $u_{2i} = \tau.u_{2i-1}^{\lambda}$, $u_{2i+1} = u_{2i}^{\lambda}$ $(i \geq 1)$ in the free ring $F = \langle \sigma, \tau\rangle$ and the endomorphism of F defined by $\lambda : \sigma \to \tau$, $\tau \to \sigma\tau$.

Proposition 5. *The ring R has the presentation*

$$\langle \sigma, \tau \mid u_i = 0 \ \ (i \geq 1)\rangle .$$

Proof. (1) By Proposition 1, if $w(s,t) = 0$ is a minimal relation then $w(s,t)$ is a monomial.

(2) Let $w(s,t) = 0$ be minimal and $w(s,t) = v(s,t)\,s$. Then, by Lemma 6, $v(s,t) \in lan(s) = \widehat{R}s$ and thus, $w(s,t) = s^2$.

(3) Let $w(s,t) = 0$ and $w(s,t) = v(s,t)\,t$. Then, by Lemma 3, $w(s,t) = stw'(t,st)$ or $tw'(t,st)$.

(3.1) If $w(s,t) = stw'(t,st)$ then $w(s,t)^{\varphi} = tw'(s,t) = 0$.

On the other hand, $tw'(s,t) = 0 \Rightarrow stw'(t,st) = (tw'(s,t))^{\lambda} = 0$.

We assert: $tw'(s,t) = 0$ not minimal $\Rightarrow w(s,t) = stw'(t,st) = 0$ not minimal.

For suppose, $tw'(s,t) = tu_1u_2$ and $u_2 = 0$. If $u_2 = tx_2$ then $w(s,t) = st(u_1)^{\lambda}(u_2)^{\lambda}$ and $(u_2)^{\lambda} = st(x_2)^{\lambda} = 0$ and not minimal.

Similarly, if $u_1 = r_1tr_2$.

As $u_2 = sx_2$, we are left with the possibility u_1 empty; that is, $w'(s,t) = u_2$. But then, $tw'(t,st) = 0$ and $w(s,t) = stw'(t,st)$ is not minimal.

(3.2) If $w(s,t) = tw'(t,st)$ then $w'(s,t) = 0$.

We assert: $w'(s,t) = 0$ not minimal $\Rightarrow w(s,t) = tw'(t,st) = 0$ not minimal.

Suppose $w'(s,t) = u_1u_2u_3$ where $u_2 = 0$ minimal and u_1 or u_3 non-empty. Furthermore suppose $w(s,t) = 0$ minimal.

We have, $w(s,t) = t(u_1)^{\lambda}(u_2)^{\lambda}(u_3)^{\lambda}$.

If u_1 is empty then $w(s,t) = t(u_2)^{\lambda}(u_3)^{\lambda}$ and $t(u_2)^{\lambda} = 0, u_3$ empty; contradiction.

We may assume $u_1 \neq 0$.

If $u_2 = tu_2'$ then $(u_2)^{\lambda} = 0$; thus, $u_2 = su_2'$.

If $u_1 = u_1'tu_1''$ then $w(s,t) = t(u_1')^{\lambda}(tu_1''u_2)^{\lambda}(u_3)^{\lambda}$ and $(tu_1''u_2)^{\lambda} = 0$; contradiction.

Then, $u_1 = s$ and so, $w'(s,t) = s(su_2')u_3 = .s^2u_4$. As $s^2 = 0$, we are done.

Hence, the set of minimal monomial relators is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} u_1(s,t) = s^2,\ u_{2i}(s,t) = t.u_{2i-1}(s,t)^{\lambda}, \\ u_{2i+1}(s,t) = u_{2i}(s,t)^{\lambda} \ \ (i \geq 1) \end{array} \right\}$$

where $\lambda : s \to t, t \to st$. $\square$

4.5. Growth of R. Let $F(n)$ be the number of non-zero elements of $\mu(R)$ of length at most n. This function is the growth function for $\mu(R)$ and also of R. Let $V(n)$ to be the set of non-zero elements of $\mu(R)$ of length n and let $f(n) =\mid V(n)\mid$.

Computer enumeration provides the following values

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) \quad = \quad & 2,3,4,5,7,8,9,11,13,15, \\ & 16,17,19,21,24,27,29,31,32,33, \\ & 35,37,40,43,46,50,53,56,59,61, \\ & 63,64,65,67,69,72,75,78,82,85, \\ & 89,94,98,103,107,110,114,117,120,123, \\ & 125,127,128,129,131,133,136,139,142,146,.... \end{aligned}$$

We note the occurrence of powers of 2^i $(1 \leq i \leq 7)$ in this list. This suggests that $f(a_i - 2) = 2^{i-3}$ for $i \geq 4$ where a_i is the ith term of the Fibonacci sequence $(a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1, ..., a_i = a_{i-1} + a_{i-2}$ for $i \geq 2)$. The proof of this observation is a lengthy argument. The appearance of the Fibonacci sequence can be explained in part by the fact that the linearized form of the endomorphism $\lambda : \sigma \to \tau, \tau \to \sigma\tau$ which produces the relations of R, has the matrix representation

$$\lambda^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda^*)^i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i-1} & a_i \\ a_i & a_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all i.

To start we show

Lemma 9. *Suppose f is strictly monotone increasing and that $f(a_i - 2) = 2^{i-3}$ for all $i \geq 4$. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{8} n^c < f(n) < 2n^c$$

where $c = \frac{\log(2)}{\log(\alpha)}, \alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Proof. First, we recall that ,

$$\alpha^{i-2} < a_i < \alpha^{i-1}$$

holds for all $i \geq 3$, where $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Let n be a length of a nonzero monomial and let k be such that $a_k \leq n < a_{k+1}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{k-2} & < n < \alpha^k, \\ \log_\alpha(n) & < k < \log_\alpha(n) + 2 \end{aligned}$$

Since f is a strictly monotone increasing function, we have

$$f(a_k) \leq f(n) < f(a_{k+1}),$$
$$2^{\log_\alpha(n)-3} < 2^{k-3} < f(n) < 2^{k-1} < 2^{\log_\alpha(n)+1}$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{8} n^c < f(n) < 2n^c.$$

□

We will reduce the problem of the growth of $V(n)$ to the growth of

$$W(n) = \{v \in V(n) \mid sv \neq 0, tv \neq 0\},$$

by showing that

$$g(n) = \mid W(n) \mid = f(n+1) - f(n),$$

the discrete derivative of f.

Toward this end we let U be the set of monomial relations of R,

$$\begin{aligned} s\backslash U &= \{v \mid sv \in U\}, t\backslash U = \{v \mid tv \in U\},\\ {}_{s}V(n) &= \{v \in V(n) \mid sv = 0\}, {}_{t}V(n) = \{v \in V(n) \mid tv = 0\},\\ {}_{\overline{s}}V(n) &= \{v \in V(n) \mid sv \neq 0\}, {}_{\overline{t}}V(n) = \{v \in V(n) \mid tv \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 10. *$\mid W(n) \mid = g(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. As $ran(R) = 0$, we have

$$ {}_{s}V(n) \leq {}_{\overline{t}}V(n),\ {}_{t}V(n) \leq {}_{\overline{s}}V(n). $$

Then, $V(n)$ is partitioned as

$$\begin{aligned} V(n) &= {}_{s}V(n) \cup {}_{t}V(n) \cup W(n),\\ sV(n) &= s({}_{t}V(n)) \cup sW(n),\\ tV(n) &= t({}_{s}V(n)) \cup tW(n). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(n) &= {}_{s}V(n) \cup {}_{\overline{s}}V(n) = {}_{t}V(n) \cup {}_{\overline{t}}V(n),\\ &= {}_{\overline{s}}V(n) \cup {}_{\overline{t}}V(n). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} V(n+1) &= sV(n) \cup tV(n) = s({}_{t}V(n)) \cup sW(n) \cup t({}_{s}V(n)) \cup tW(n),\\ &= s({}_{\overline{s}}V(n)) \cup s({}_{\overline{t}}V(n)) \cup t({}_{\overline{s}}V(n)) \cup t({}_{\overline{t}}V(n)). \end{aligned}$$

From the partition

$$ V(n+1) = sV(n) \cup tV(n) = (s({}_{t}V(n)) \cup sW(n)) \cup (t({}_{s}V(n)) \cup tW(n)), $$

we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mid & V(n+1) \mid = \mid_{t} V(n) \mid + \mid W(n) \mid + \mid_{s} V(n) \mid + \mid W(n) \mid\\ = & (\mid_{t} V(n) \mid + \mid_{s} V(n) \mid + \mid W(n) \mid) + \mid W(n) \mid\\ = & \mid V(n) \mid + \mid W(n) \mid. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we show that there are two operations acting on W.

Proposition 6. *(i)Let W_s, W_t be the subsets of monomials in W which end in s, t, respectively. Then*
$W_s = W_t s, W_t = (sW)^{\lambda}$
(ii) The function f is strictly monotone increasing.

Proof. (i) To start with, $t \in W$ since $s.t \neq 0 \neq t.t$.

Let $w = w't \in W(n)$ then $ws = w'ts \neq 0$ and $sws = sw'ts, tws = tw'ts$ are both non-zero and so, $ws \in W(n+1)$

Let $w = w's \in W(n)$. Then $wt \in W(n+1)$ iff $wt \neq s\backslash u, t\backslash u$.

It $w = tsqt \in W(n+1)$ then $sqt = v^{\lambda}$, $w = tv^{\lambda}$ and $v = tv'$; for if $v = sv'$ then $v^{\lambda} = t*$ and $w = t^2*$, a contradiction.

Suppose $sv = 0$; then $v = (s\backslash u_{2n})r = u'r$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2n} &= su', u_{2n+1} = t(u_{2n})^{\lambda} = t^2(u')^{\lambda} = 0,\\ w &= tv^{\lambda} = t(u'r)^{\lambda} = t(u')^{\lambda} r^{\lambda} andtw = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $tv = 0$; then $v = (t\backslash u_{2n-1})\, r = u'r$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2n-1} &= tu', u_{2n} = st\,(u')^{\lambda} = 0, \\ w &= tv^{\lambda} = t\,(u')^{\lambda}\, r^{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

and $sw = 0$.

On the other hand suppose $v = v\,(s,t) \in W\,(k)$ of type (k_s, k_t). Then, $(sv\,(s,t))^{\lambda} = t.v\,(t, st) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t.v\,(s,t) \\ 0 & s.v\,(s,t) \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$st.v\,(t, st) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t.v\,(s,t) \end{pmatrix}, t^2.v\,(t, st) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ts.v(s,t) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

both non-zero and $(sv\,(s,t))^{\lambda}$ is of type $(k_t, 1 + k_s + k_t) = (k_t, 1 + k)$ has length $1 + n = 1 + k_s + 2k_t$.

(ii) Since $ran\,(R) = 0$, we conclude that f is monotone increasing. $\square$

4.5.1. *Word types in W.* We have seen that the following two transformations act on $W \cup \{0\}$:

$$\sigma : w \to ws, \kappa : w \to (sw)^{\lambda},$$

where $\sigma^2 = 0$ and where $\{(w)\,\kappa^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is an infinite set for all $w \in W$. Given positive integers $m, n_1, n_2..., n_m$, let $q = q\,(n_1, n_2..., n_m) = \kappa^{n_1}\sigma\kappa^{n_2}...\sigma\kappa^{n_m}$.

Let ζ be the set of transformations consisting of e (the trivial operator), σ and $q, \sigma q, q\sigma, \sigma q\sigma$ for all possible q. Then, $W = (t)\,\zeta$.

Given $w \in W$, let c denote the s-length, d the t-length of w and call $\|w\| = (c,d)$ the type of w. Then $(W) \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|(w)\,\sigma\| = (c+1, d)\,, \|(w)\,\kappa\| = (d, c+d+1)\,.$$

Corresponding to σ, λ, κ, we define operations $\sigma^*, \lambda^*, \kappa^*$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^* &: (c,d) \to (c+1, d) \text{ translation;} \\ \lambda^* &: (c,d) \to (d, c+d)\,, \\ \kappa^*\,(= \sigma^*\lambda^*) &: (c,d) \to (d, c+d+1)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Then, σ^*, λ^* are invertible transformations. Let ρ^* be the group generated by σ^*, λ^*. We verify

$$\sigma^*\,(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-1}} = (\sigma^*)^{\lambda^*},$$

$$\left\langle \sigma^*, (\sigma^*)^{\lambda^*} \right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

and ρ^* is metabelian group, an extension of the normal closure of $\langle \sigma^* \rangle$ by $\langle \lambda^* \rangle$.

We write $\lambda^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, with action on the right hand side. Then as noted before, for any integer i we have

$$(\lambda^*)^i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i-1} & a_i \\ a_i & a_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where a_i is the ith term of the extended Fibonacci sequence defined by $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1, ..., a_i = a_{i-1} + a_{i-2}$ for $i \geq 2$ and $a_{-i} = (-1)^{i+1}\, a_i$ for $i \geq 1$. We gather together in the following lemma some facts about the Fibonacci numbers which we will need in the sequel.

Lemma 11. *(Some Fibonacci facts) The following equations hold*

$$a_1+a_2+...+a_n=a_{n+2}-1,$$

$$a_1+a_3+a_5+...+a_{2n+1}=a_{2n+2}$$

for all integers $n\geq 1$*;*

$$a_m a_n+a_{m-1}a_{n-1}=a_{m+n-1}$$

for all integers m,n*.*

We consider within ρ^* the set of words ζ^* corresponding to ζ.

For positive integers $m,n_1,n_2...,n_m$ and $n=n_1+n_2+...+n_m$,there are 4 word forms in ζ^*:

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^{*n_1}\sigma^*...\kappa^{*n_m}\sigma^* &= (\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n_1}}(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-(n_1+n_2)}}...(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n}}\kappa^{*n},\\
\sigma^*\kappa^{*n_1}\sigma^*...\kappa^{*n_m}\sigma^* &= \sigma^*(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n_1}}(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-(n_1+n_2)}}...(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n}}\kappa^{*n},\\
\kappa^{*n_1}\sigma^*...\sigma^*\kappa^{*n_m} &= (\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n_1}}(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-(n_1+n_2)}}...(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-(n_1+n_2+...+n_{m-1})}}\kappa^{*n},\\
\sigma^*\kappa^{*n_1}\sigma^*...\sigma^*\kappa^{*n_m} &= \sigma^*(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n_1}}(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-(n_1+n_2)}}...(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-(n_1+n_2+...+n_{m-1})}}\kappa^{*n}.
\end{aligned}$$

We need to introduce numerical functions $\Delta_1(n:n_1,...,n_m),\Delta_2(n:n_1,...,n_m)$ defined by:

$$\Delta_1(n:n_1,...,n_m)=\Delta_2(n:n_1,...,n_m)=0 \text{ for } m=1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1(n:n_1,...,n_m) &= a_{n-(n_1+1)}+a_{n-(n_1+n_2+1)}+...+a_{n-(n_1+n_2+...+n_{m-1}+1)},\\
\Delta_2(n:n_1,...,n_m) &= a_{n-n_1}+a_{n-(n_1+n_2)}+...+a_{n-(n_1+n_2+...+n_{m-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

for $m>1$.

Lemma 12. *The functions* Δ_1,Δ_2 *satisfy the following properties:*
(i) $\Delta_1(n:n_1,...,n_m)\leq\Delta_1(n:1,...,1)=\sum_{n-2}a_i=a_n-1$*;*
(ii) $\Delta_2(n:n_1,...,n_m)\leq\Delta_2(n:1,...,1)=\sum_n a_i=a_{n+1}-1$*;*
(iii) $\Delta_1(n:n_1,...,n_m)=0\Leftrightarrow m=1$ *or* $m=2,n_1=n-1,n_2=1$*;*
(iv) $\Delta_2(n:n_1,...,n_m)=0\Leftrightarrow m=1$ *or* $m=2,n=n_1$*;*
(v) $\Delta_2(n:n_1,...,n_m)=1\Leftrightarrow m=2,n_1=n-1,n_2=1$*;*
(vi) for $j=1,2$,
$\Delta_j(n:n_1,...,n_m)=\Delta_j(n:1,...,1)\Leftrightarrow n_i=1$ *for all* i*;*
(vii)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(n:n_1,...,n_m)=\Delta_1(n:n_1,...,n_m)+\Delta_2(n:n_1,...,n_m)\\
=\ & a_{n-n_1+1}+a_{n-(n_1+n_2)+1}+...+a_{n-(n_1+n_2+...+n_{m-1})+1}\leq a_{n+2}-2;
\end{aligned}$$

(viii)

$$\Delta_2-\Delta_1=a_{n-(n_1+2)}+a_{n-(n_1+n_2+2)}+...+a_{n-(n_1+n_2+...+n_{m-1}+\delta)}$$

where $\delta=1$ *if* $n_m=1$ *and* $\delta=2$ *otherwise;*
(ix) $\Delta_2-\Delta_1\leq a_{n-n_1}-1$*;*
(x) $\Delta_2=\Delta_1$ *iff* $\Delta_2=\Delta_1=0$ *or* $m=2,n_1=n-2,n_2=2$.

In the next two lemmas we determine the image of a word type (c,d) under the application of elements of the group ζ^*.

LEMMA 13. *Let $j \geq 0$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} (c,d)\,.\,(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-j}} &= (c+a_{-j-1}, d+a_{-j}), \\ (c,d)\,.\,(\kappa^*)^j &= (a_{j-1}c+a_jd+a_{j+1}-1, a_jc+a_{j+1}d+a_{j+2}-1)\,, \\ (0,1)\,(\kappa^*)^j &= (a_{j+2}-1, a_{j+3}-1)\,. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. (i)

$$\begin{aligned} (c,d)\,(\lambda^*)^j\,\sigma^*\,(\lambda^*)^{-j} &= (c,d)\begin{pmatrix} a_{j-1} & a_j \\ a_j & a_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}\sigma^*\,(\lambda^*)^{-j} \\ &= (ca_{j-1}+da_j+1, ca_j+da_{j+1})\begin{pmatrix} a_{-j-1} & a_{-j} \\ a_{-j} & a_{-j+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= ((ca_{j-1}+da_j+1)\,a_{-j-1}+(ca_j+da_{j+1})\,a_{-j}, \\ &\quad (ca_{j-1}+da_j+1)\,a_{-j}+(ca_j+da_{j+1})\,a_{-j+1}) \\ &= (ca_{j-1}a_{-j-1}+da_ja_{-j-1}+a_{-j-1}+ca_ja_{-j}+da_{j+1}a_{-j}, \\ &\quad ca_{j-1}a_{-j}+da_ja_{-j}+a_{-j}+ca_ja_{-j+1}+da_{j+1}a_{-j+1}) \\ &= (c\,(a_{j-1}a_{-j-1}+a_ja_{-j})+d\,(a_ja_{-j-1}+a_{j+1}a_{-j})+a_{-j-1}, \\ &\quad c\,(a_{j-1}a_{-j}+a_ja_{-j+1})+d\,(a_ja_{-j}+a_{j+1}a_{-j+1})+a_{-j}) \\ &= (c+a_{-j-1}, d+a_{-j}). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since $(\kappa^*)^j = \sigma^*\,(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-1}} \ldots (\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-(j-1)}}\,(\lambda^*)^j$, we find

$$(c,d)\,(\kappa^*)^j = (c+s_{-j}, d+s_{-j+1})\,(\lambda^*)^j$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_{-j} &= a_{-1}+a_{-2}+\ldots+a_{-j}, \\ s_{-j+1} &= a_0+a_{-1}+\ldots+a_{-j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (c,d)\,(\kappa^*)^j &= (c+s_{-j}, d+s_{-j+1})\begin{pmatrix} a_{j-1} & a_j \\ a_j & a_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (ca_{j-1}+da_j+(s_{-j}a_{j-1}+s_{-j+1}a_j)\,, ca_j+da_{j+1}+(s_{-j}a_j+s_{-j+1}a_{j+1})) \\ &= (ca_{j-1}+da_j+a_{j+1}-1, ca_j+da_{j+1}+a_{j+2}-1). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (0,1)\,(\kappa^*)^j &= (a_j+a_{j+1}-1, a_{j+1}+a_{j+2}-1) \\ &= (a_{j+2}-1, a_{j+3}-1)\,. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we derive the following more general formulae.

LEMMA 14.

$$\begin{aligned} &\textit{(i)}\ \ (c,d)\,(\kappa^*)^{n_1}\,\sigma^*\ldots(\kappa^*)^{n_m}\,\sigma^* \\ = \ &(a_{n-1}c+a_nd+a_{n+1}+\Delta_1\,(n:n_1,\ldots,n_m)\,, \\ &a_nc+a_{n+1}d+a_{n+2}+\Delta_2\,(n:n_1,\ldots,n_m)-1); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{(ii)}\ (c,d)\,(\kappa^*)^{n_1}\,\sigma^*...\,(\kappa^*)^{n_m} \\ = \ & (a_{n-1}c+a_nd+a_{n+1}+\Delta_1\,(n:n_1,...,n_m)-1, \\ & a_nc+a_{n+1}d+a_{n+2}+\Delta_2\,(n:n_1,...,n_m)-1); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{(iii)}\ (c,d)\,\sigma^*\,(\kappa^*)^{n_1}\,\sigma^*...\,(\kappa^*)^{n_m}\,\sigma^* \\ = \ & (a_{n-1}\,(c+1)+a_nd+a_{n+1}+\Delta_1\,(n:n_1,...,n_m)\,, \\ & a_n\,(c+1)+a_{n+1}d+a_{n+2}+\Delta_2\,(n:n_1,...,n_m)-1); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{(iv)}\ (c,d)\,\sigma^*\,(\kappa^*)^{n_1}\,\sigma^*...\,(\kappa^*)^{n_m} \\ = \ & (a_{n-1}\,(c+1)+a_nd+a_{n+1}+\Delta_1\,(n:n_1,...,n_m)-1, \\ & a_n\,(c+1)+a_{n+1}d+a_{n+2}+\Delta_2\,(n:n_1,...,n_m)-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We compute the first formula:

$$\begin{aligned} (c,d)\,(\kappa^*)^{n_1}\,\sigma^*...\,(\kappa^*)^{n_m}\,\sigma^* &= (c,d)\,(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n_1}}\,(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n_1-n_2}}\,...\,(\sigma^*)^{(\lambda^*)^{-n}}\,(\kappa^*)^n\,, \\ &= (c+a_{-n_1-1}+a_{-n_1-n_2-1}+...+a_{-n-1}, \\ &\quad d+a_{-n_1}+a_{-n_1-n_2}+...+a_{-n})\kappa^n \\ &= (a_{n-1}\,(c+a_{-n_1-1}+a_{-n_1-n_2-1}+...+a_{-n-1}) \\ &\quad +a_n\,(d+a_{-n_1}+a_{-n_1-n_2}+...+a_{-n})+a_{n+1}-1, \\ &\quad a_n\,(c+a_{-n_1-1}+a_{-n_1-n_2-1}+...+a_{-n-1}) \\ &\quad +a_{n+1}\,(d+a_{-n_1}+a_{-n_1-n_2}+...+a_{-n}) \\ &\quad +a_{n+2}-1) \\ &= ... \\ &= (a_{n-1}c+a_nd+a_{n+1}+ \\ &\quad \left(a_{n-n_1-1}+a_{n-(n_1+n_2)-1}+...+a_{n-(n_1+n_2+...+n_{m-1})-1}\right), \\ &\quad a_nc+a_{n+1}d+a_{n+2}+ \\ &\quad \left(a_{n-n_1}+a_{n-(n_1+n_2)}+...+a_{n-(n_1+n_2+...+n_{m-1})}\right)-1). \end{aligned}$$

The other formulae can be derived similarly. □

On substituting $c=0, d=1$, in the above, we get the following set of possible types (c_n,d_n) and the corresponding lengths of elements of W:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i)}\ \ (0,1)\left(\kappa^{*}\right)^{n_{1}}\sigma^{*}...\left(\kappa^{*}\right)^{n_{m}}\sigma^{*} &= (a_{n+2}+\Delta_{1}\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right), \\
&\quad a_{n+3}-1+\Delta_{2}\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right)) \\
&\quad \text{ of length } \left(a_{n+4}-1\right)+\Delta\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right); \\
\text{(ii)}\ \ (0,1)\left(\kappa^{*}\right)^{n_{1}}\sigma^{*}...\left(\kappa^{*}\right)^{n_{m}} &= (a_{n+2}-1+\Delta_{1}\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right), \\
&\quad a_{n+3}-1+\Delta_{2}\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right)) \\
&\quad \text{of length } \left(a_{n+4}-2\right)+\Delta\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right); \\
\text{(iii)}\ \ (0,1)\sigma^{*}\left(\kappa^{*}\right)^{n_{1}}\sigma^{*}...\left(\kappa^{*}\right)^{n_{m}}\sigma^{*} &= (2a_{n+1}+\Delta_{1}\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right), \\
&\quad 2a_{n+2}-1+\Delta_{2}\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right)) \\
&\quad \text{of length } \left(2a_{n+3}-1\right)+\Delta\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right); \\
\text{(iv)}\ \ (0,1)\sigma^{*}\left(\kappa^{*}\right)^{n_{1}}\sigma^{*}...\left(\kappa^{*}\right)^{n_{m}} &= (2a_{n+1}-1+\Delta_{1}\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right), \\
&\quad 2a_{n+2}-1+\Delta_{2}\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right)) \\
&\quad \text{of length } \left(2a_{n+3}-2\right)+\Delta\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

4.5.2. *Lengths of words and Fibonacci lengths.* Suppose an integer Δ is in the range $(0, a_{n+2}-2)$. Then, there exists at least one partition $n_1,...,n_m$ of n such that $\Delta = \Delta(n : n_1,...,n_m)$: let $a_{k_1} \leq \Delta < a_{k_1+1}$; then $k_1 \leq n+1$. Write Δ in base Fibonacci: $\Delta = a_{k_1} + a_{k_2} + ... + a_{k_r}$ with $r \geq 1$ and $k_1 > k_2 > ... > k_r \geq 1$. We call r the Fibonacci length of Δ. Then $r < n$: for the maximum Fibonacci length of Δ is k_1, in which case, $\Delta = a_{k_1} + a_{k_1-1} + ... + a_1 = a_{k_1+2} - 1 < a_{n+2} - 2$ and therefore, $k_1 < n$. Now, the partition $(n_1,...,n_m)$ of n is determined by

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{1} &= n-k_{1}+1, \\
n_{i} &= n-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+...+n_{i-1}+k_{i}\right)+1 \text{ for } 1<i<r, \\
n_{r} &= n-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+...+n_{r-1}+k_{r}\right)+1, \\
n_{r+1} &= n-\left(n_{1}+...+n_{r}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $w \in W$ have length $l(w)$. Let $l(w)$ lie in the range $[a_{n+4}-2, a_{n+5}-2)$ for $n \geq 0$; then n is unique. The length $l(w)$ has four possible forms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(a_{n+4}-1\right)+\Delta\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right),\left(a_{n+4}-2\right)+\Delta\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right), \\
&\left(2a_{n+3}-1\right)+\Delta\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right),\left(2a_{n+3}-2\right)+\Delta\left(n:n_{1},...,n_{m}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Each unordered partition $n_1,...,n_m$ of n produces a $\Delta(n : n_1,...,n_m)$ which determines $\Delta_1(n : n_1,...,n_m), \Delta_2(n : n_1,...,n_m)$ and thus produces 4 different words with lengths in the given range. On the other hand, given an integer l in the given range, then at least one of the values $l-(a_{n+4}-2), l-(a_{n+4}-1), l-(2a_{n+3}-2), l-(2a_{n+3}-1)$, call it Δ, is in the range $[0, a_{n+2}-2]$ and therefore there exists a partition $n_1,...,n_m$ such that $\Delta = \Delta(n : n_1,...,n_m)$. There are 2^{n-1} unordered partitions of n. Therefore, the number of different monomials $w \in W$ with lengths in the range $[a_{n+4}-2, a_{n+5}-2)$ is $4.2^{n-1} = 2^{n+1}$.

Now we compute, for $n \geq 4$

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(a_{n+1}-3\right) &= f\left(a_{n+1}-4\right)+g\left(a_{n+1}-3\right) \\ &= f\left(a_{n+1}-3-i\right)+g\left(a_{n+1}-3-i+1\right)+\ldots+g\left(a_{n+1}-3\right) \\ &= f\left(a_{n+1}-3-a_{n-1}\right)+g\left(a_{n+1}-3-a_{n-1}+1\right)+\ldots+g\left(a_{n+1}-3\right) \\ &= f\left(a_{n}-3\right)+\left(g\left(a_{n}-2\right)+\ldots+g\left(a_{n+1}-3\right)\right) \\ &= f\left(a_{n}-3\right)+2^{n-3} \\ &= f\left(a_{4}-3\right)+2^{2}+\ldots+2^{n-3} \\ &= 2^{n-2}-1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as $g\left(a_{n+1}-2\right)=1$, we obtain the desired

$$f\left(a_{n+1}-2\right)=2^{n-2}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 9, we have proved the growth type of f equal to $n^{\frac{\log(2)}{\log(\alpha)}}$, that of F equal to $n^{1+\frac{\log(2)}{\log(\alpha)}}$ and thence the Gelfand- Kirillov dimension of R equal to $1+\frac{\log(2)}{\log(\alpha)}$.

5. Final Comments

It was shown by M. Vorobets and Y. Vorobets in [**14**] that, in our notation, the group generated by

$$a=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{array}\right), b=\left(\begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{array}\right), c=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & c \\ b & 0 \end{array}\right) \in M\left(\mathbf{T}_2, \mathbb{Q}\right)$$

is free of rank 3. It would be interesting to know whether the algebra $\langle a, b, c\rangle$ is a free group algebra.

With respect to the second ring of this paper, we recall a construction of a 2-generated ring having its multiplicative semigroup of monomials nil of degree 3, which is obtained simply as follows. Consider the free ring $F=\langle a, b\rangle$ in two generators and in it the set M of all monomials in a, b which contain cubic subwords. The complement of M contains the Morse-Thue sequence (generated by the substitution $a \rightarrow ab, b \rightarrow ba$) [**7**]) and is therefore infinite. The linear closure of M in F is an ideal and $\frac{F}{M}$ is an infinite ring where each monomial is nil of degree at most 3. Does the ring $\frac{F}{M}$ admit a faithful finite-state representation?

In the context of this paper, it is important to decide whether there exist associative finitely generated infinite nil algebras which are recursive and finite-state. V. Petrogradsky [**9**] and I. Schestakov-E.Zelmanov [**12**] have produced new exciting constructions of nil Lie algebras in finite characteristic, which are generated by recursively defined derivations of a polynomial ring. These constructions may prove to be relevant to the problem for associative algebras.

Bartholdi and Reznykov studied in [**5**] the semigroup generated by the recursive matrices

$$s=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right), t=\left(\begin{array}{cc} s & 0 \\ t & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

with $s_\phi=t_\phi=1$ and showed that this semigroup satisfies the identity $w^6=w^4$.

We thank R. Grigorchuk for his insistence on knowing the growth of R_2 and thank Ricardo Nunes de Oliveira for computer computations which were helpful in answering the question. We note that the types of growth for our ring R_2, of the Petrogradsky Lie algebra and that of Bartholdi-Reznykov semigroup are all equal.

References

[1] Bacher, R., Determinants related to Dirichlet characters modulo $2, 4$ and 8 of binomial coefficients and the algebra of recurrence matrices, Internat. J. of Algebra and Computation, vol. 18, no.3 (2008), 535-566.

[2] Bartholdi, L., Branch Rings, Thinned Rings, Tree Enveloping Rings, Israel J. of Math. 154 (2006), 93-139.

[3] Bartholdi, L., Functionally recursive groups, www.gap-systems.org/Manuals/pkg/fr/doc/manual.pdf

[4] Bartholdi, L., Grigorchuk, R., On parabolic subgroups and Hecke algebras of some fractal groups, Siberian Math. J. 28 (2002), 47-90.

[5] Bartholdi, L., Reznykov, I., A Mealy machine with polynomial growth of irrational degree, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 18 (2008), no. 1, 59–82

[6] Brunner, A., Sidki, S., On the automorphism group of the one-rooted binary tree, J. Algebra 195 (1997), 465-486.

[7] Morse M.., Hedlund, G., Unending Chess, Symbolic Dynamics and a Problem in Semigroups, Duke Math. J. 11 (1944), 1-7.

[8] Nekrashevych,V. Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of group actions, J. of Operator Theory 52 (2004), 223-249.

[9] Petrogradsky, V. M., Examples of self-iterating Lie algebras, J. Algebra 302 (2006), no.2, 881-886.

[10] Sidki, S., A primitive ring associated to a Burnside 3-group, J. of London Math. Soc. 55 (1997), 55-64.

[11] Sidki, S., Automorphisms of one-rooted trees: growth, circuit structure and acyclicity, J. of Mathematical Sciences (New York) 100 (2000), no. 1, 1925-1943.

[12] Shestakov, I.P., Zelmanov, Some examples of nil Lie algebras, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 10 (2008), no.2, 391-398.

[13] Vieira, A., Modular algebras of Burnside p-groups, Matemática Contemp. 21 (2001), 287-304, 16th School of Algebra, Part II (Brasília, 2000).

[14] Vorobets, M., Vorobets, Y, On a free group of transformations defined by an automaton, Geom. Ded. 124 (2007), 237-249.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Brasília, Brasilia, DF 70910-900, Brazil

E-mail address: `sidki@mat.unb.br`